Non-local subtractions for collider processes

Luca Rottoli







SWISS NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

LHC: precision as a path to discovery

- Precision of experimental data across a variety of processes increased after run I and run II at the LHC
- Precision will be increased further at run III and at the **HL-LHC** (luminosity up to $\sim 3000 \text{ fb}^{-1}$)



Sensitivity to deviations of Higgs interactions from SM predictions



Precision: keystone to constrain models for **new physics**



Particle Physics Theory Seminar, 9th March 2022, Edinburgh

Picture: CMS Collaboration at the LHC, CERN



- Precise description of LHC collisions requires a profound understanding of QCD needed across a wide range of energy scales and kinematic domains
- Processes with jets at lowest order: essential for LHC physics (more differential information), but much more complex



Categorization of events into jet bins according to the jet multiplicity

E.g. $pp \rightarrow H + X$: enhanced sensitivity to Higgs boson kinematics, spin-CP properties, BSM effects...



a wide range of energy scales and kinematic domains

Additional theoretical challenges in processes characterised by jets



E.g. $pp \rightarrow H + X$: enhanced sensitivity to



Fixed-order calculations

- Complex singularity structure for processes with one or more jets
- Fixed order calculations at NNLO accuracy require efficient subtraction methods to extract and cancel virtual and real singularities
- V + j NNLO calculations available with local and non-local subtraction methods [Caola, Melnikov, Schulze] [Chen, Gehrmann, Gehrmann-De Ridder, Glover, Huss + others (NNLOJET)] [Boughezal, Campbell, Ellis, Focke, Giele, Liu, Petriello, Williams]
- $pp \rightarrow 2j$ and even $pp \rightarrow 3j$ recently computed [H.Chawdhry, M.Czakon, A.Mitov, R.Poncelet] ($pp \rightarrow 2j$ and $pp \rightarrow 3j$) [NNLOJET] ($pp \rightarrow 2j$)
- **Computationally expensive** (100k-1M CPU hours); **no public code** available



All-order calculations and matching to parton shower

- Resummation structure for jet observables complicated by the presence of multiple emitters
- Ingredients to reach NNLL accuracy available only for a few selected observables with three or more coloured legs [Bonciani, Catani, Grazzini, Sargsyan, Torre, Devoto, Mazzitelli, Kallweit](tī)
 [Arpino, Banfi, El-Menoufi](three jet rate) [Jouttenus, Stewart, Tackmann, Waalewijn](jet mass)
 [Becher, Garcia I Tormo, Piclum](transverse thrust in pp collisions)
 [Chien, Rahn, Schrijnder van Velzen, Shao, Waalewijn, Wu]
- Matching of NNLO calculations with parton shower requires the knowledge of the same ingredients entering at NNLL' for a **suitable resolution variable** which captures the singularities of the $N \rightarrow N + 1$ (partonic) jet transition





Jet resolution variables

Resolution variables smoothly capture the transition from N to N + 1 configurations



 $0 \rightarrow 1$ jet transition: p_T^{veto} , q_T , 0-jettiness τ_0

 $1 \rightarrow 2$ jet transition: two-jet resolution parameter y_{12} , 1-jettiness τ_1

Caveat: the definition of the resolution variable may or may not depend on the jet definition

The 0 jet case

- describe initial-state radiation
- Singular structure known at (N)NNLO from the expansion of the resummation formula at (N)NNLL accuracy

- q_T and τ_0 are also used as resolution variables for NNLO+PS event generators
- q_T : UNNLOPS, Minnlops [Höche, Li, Prestel] [Nason, Monni, Re, Wiesemann, Zanderighi] τ_0 : GENEVA recently extended to q_T [Alioli, Bauer, Berggren, Tackmann, Walsh]

• p_T^{veto} , q_T , τ_0 are three well known variables able to discriminate the $0 \rightarrow 1$ transition and to **inclusively**

[Alioli, Bauer, Broggio, Gavardi, Kallweit, Lim, Nagar, Napoletano, LR]

q_T and τ_0 resummation

Resummation for both variables known at **high logarithmic accuracy**: NNLL for τ_0 , N³LL for q_T [Gaunt, Stahlhofen, Tackmann, Walsh][Billis, Dehnadi, Ebert, Michel, Stewart, Tackmann][Re, LR, Torrielli][Camarda, Cieri, Ferrera][Ju, Schönherr][Neumann]



[Alioli, Bauer, Broggio, Gavardi, Kallweit, Lim, Nagar, Napoletano, LR]

Predictiveness of resummed predictions affected by corrections of NP origin (hadronisation, MPI). Spectrum in q_T mildly affected, large corrections due to MPI in the case of τ_0



The knowledge of the N^kLL resummation and of the constant terms at $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^k)$ (so-called N^kLL' accuracy) allows for the formulation of **non-local subtraction methods** for QCD calculations at NNLO [Catani, Grazzini][Gaunt, Stahlhofen, Tackmann, Walsh]

Fully differential formula in the transverse momentum q_T and in the Born kinematic variables for the production of a colour singlet V

Finite for $q_T \rightarrow 0$: integral over q_T allows one to obtain N^kLO+N^kLL predictions within fiducial cuts

 $d\sigma_V^{N^kLO+N^kLL} \equiv d\sigma_V^{N^kLL} + d\sigma_{V+iet}^{N^{k-1}LO} - \left[d\sigma_V^{N^kLL}\right]_{\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^k)}$

The knowledge of the N^kLL resummation and of the constant terms at $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^k)$ (so-called N^kLL' accuracy) allows for the formulation of **non-local subtraction methods** for QCD calculations at NNLO [Catani, Grazzini][Gaunt, Stahlhofen, Tackmann, Walsh]

Fully differential formula in the transverse momentum q_T and in the Born kinematic variables for the production of a colour singlet V

Finite for $q_T \rightarrow 0$: integral over q_T allows one to obtain N^kLO+N^kLL predictions within fiducial cuts

N^kLL resummed q_T distribution

Particle Physics Theory Seminar, 9th March 2022, Edinburgh

 $d\sigma_V^{N^kLO+N^kLL} \equiv d\sigma_V^{N^kLL} + d\sigma_{V+jet}^{N^{k-1}LO} - \left[d\sigma_V^{N^kLL}\right]_{\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^k)}$

The knowledge of the N^kLL resummation and of the constant terms at $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^k)$ (so-called N^kLL' accuracy) allows for the formulation of **non-local subtraction methods** for QCD calculations at NNLO [Catani, Grazzini][Gaunt, Stahlhofen, Tackmann, Walsh]

Fully differential formula in the **transverse momentum** q_T and in the Born kinematic variables for the production of a colour singlet *V*

Finite for $q_T \rightarrow 0$: integral over q_T allows one to obtain N^kLO+N^kLL predictions within fiducial cuts

 $d\sigma_V^{N^kLO+N^kLL} \equiv d\sigma_V^{N^kLL} +$

differential
$$q_T$$
 distrib

Particle Physics Theory Seminar, 9th March 2022, Edinburgh

+
$$d\sigma_{V+jet}^{N^{k-1}LO} - [d\sigma_V^{N^kLL}]_{\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^k)}$$

bution at NNLO

The knowledge of the N^kLL resummation and of the constant terms at $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^k)$ (so-called N^kLL' accuracy) allows for the formulation of **non-local subtraction methods** for QCD calculations at NNLO [Catani, Grazzini][Gaunt, Stahlhofen, Tackmann, Walsh]

Fully differential formula in the **transverse momentum** q_T and in the Born kinematic variables for the production of a colour singlet *V*

Finite for $q_T \rightarrow 0$: integral over q_T allows one to obtain N^kLO+N^kLL predictions within fiducial cuts

 $d\sigma_{V}^{N^{k}LO+N^{k}LL} \equiv d\sigma_{V}^{N^{k}LL} + d\sigma_{V+jet}^{N^{k-1}LO} - \left[d\sigma_{V}^{N^{k}LL}\right]_{\mathcal{O}(\alpha_{s}^{k})}$ Expansion of the N^kLL resummed q_{T} distribution at order $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_{s}^{k})$

The knowledge of the N^kLL resummation and of the constant terms at $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^k)$ (so-called N^kLL' accuracy) allows for the formulation of **non-local subtraction methods** for QCD calculations at NNLO [Catani, Grazzini][Gaunt, Stahlhofen, Tackmann, Walsh]

Fully differential formula in the **transverse momentum** q_T and in the Born kinematic variables for the production of a colour singlet V

Finite for $q_T \rightarrow 0$: integral over q_T allows one to obtain N^kLO+N^kLL predictions within fiducial cuts

 $d\sigma_V^{N^kLO+N^kLL} \equiv d\sigma_V^{N^kLL} +$

Both diverge logarithmically for $q_T \rightarrow 0$: high numerical precision required in the $d\sigma_{V+jet}^{N^{k-1}LO}$ down to very small values of q_T

$$+ d\sigma_{V+jet}^{N^{k-1}LO} - \left[d\sigma_{V}^{N^{k}LL} \right]_{\mathcal{O}(\alpha_{s}^{k})}$$



The knowledge of the N^kLL resummation and of the constant terms at $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^k)$ (so-called N^kLL' accuracy) allows for the formulation of **non-local subtraction methods** for QCD calculations at NNLO [Catani, Grazzini][Gaunt, Stahlhofen, Tackmann, Walsh]

production of a colour singlet V

Finite for $q_T \rightarrow 0$: integral over q_T allows one to obtain N^kLO+N^kLL predictions within fiducial cuts

$$d\sigma_V^{N^kLO+N^kLL} \equiv d\sigma_V^{N^kLL} + \left(d\sigma_{V+jet}^{N^{k-1}LO} - \left[d\sigma_V^{N^kLL} \right]_{\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^k)} \right) \Theta(q_T > q_t^{cut}) + \mathcal{O}((q_T^{cut}/M)^n)$$

Both diverge logarithmically for $q_T \rightarrow 0$: high numerical precision required in the $d\sigma_{V+jet}^{N^{k-1}LO}$ down to very small values of q_T

Setting
$$d\sigma_{V+jet}^{N^{k-1}LO} - \left[d\sigma_V^{N^kLL}\right]_{\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^k)} = 0$$
 for $q_T \le q_T^{cut}$

Fully differential formula in the **transverse momentum** q_T and in the Born kinematic variables for the

introduces a **slicing error** of order $\mathcal{O}((q_T^{\text{cut}}/M)^n)$



The perturbative expansion of the N^kLL+N^kLO fiducial cross section to third order in α_s leads to the N^kLO prediction as obtained according to the q_T -subtraction formalism [Catani, Grazzini]

 $d\sigma_V^{N^kLO} \equiv \mathscr{H}_V^{N^kLO} \otimes d\sigma_V^{LO} + \left(d\sigma_{V+jet}^{N^{k-1}LO} - \left[d\sigma_V^{N^kLL} \right]_{\mathscr{O}(\alpha_s^k)} \right) \Theta(q_T > q_t^{cut}) + \mathscr{O}((q_T^{cut}/M)^n)$

Virtual correction after subtraction of IR singularities and contribution of soft/collinear origin (beam, soft, jet functions)

The perturbative expansion of the N^kLL+N^kLO fiducial cross section to third order in α_s leads to the N^kLO prediction as obtained according to the q_T -subtraction formalism [Catani, Grazzini]

 $d\sigma_V^{N^kLO} \equiv \mathscr{H}_V^{N^kLO} \otimes d\sigma_V^{LO} + \left(d\sigma_{V+jet}^{N^{k-1}LO} - \left[d\sigma_V^{N^kLL} \right]_{\mathscr{O}(\alpha_s^k)} \right) \Theta(q_T > q_t^{cut}) + \mathscr{O}((q_T^{cut}/M)^n)$

Missing **power corrections** below the slicing cut-off

The perturbative expansion of the N^kLL+N^kLO fiducial cross section to third order in α_s leads to the N^kLO prediction as obtained according to the q_T -subtraction formalism [Catani, Grazzini]

11

Sensitivity to power corrections below the cut-off generally depends on the observable and affects the **performance** of the method

Particle Physics Theory Seminar, 9th March 2022, Edinburgh

$d\sigma_V^{N^kLO} \equiv \mathscr{H}_V^{N^kLO} \otimes d\sigma_V^{LO} + \left(d\sigma_{V+jet}^{N^{k-1}LO} - \left[d\sigma_V^{N^kLL} \right]_{\mathscr{O}(\alpha_s^k)} \right) \Theta(q_T > q_t^{cut}) + \mathscr{O}((q_T^{cut}/M)^n)$

Missing **power corrections** below the slicing cut-off



Particle Physics Theory Seminar, 9th March 2022, Edinburgh

q_T-subtraction with inclusive cuts and in various fiducial setups



 $r_{\rm cut} \sim q_T/Q, \mathcal{T}_0/Q, \dots$

r_{cut}





q_T -subtraction for $\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{O}(r_{\text{cut}}) & 2 \rightarrow 2 \text{ processes with} \\ \text{(a)symmetric cuts} \end{array} \end{array}$



 $r_{\rm cut} \sim q_T/Q, \mathcal{T}_0/Q, \dots$

r_{cut}





Particle Physics Theory Seminar, 9th March 2022, Edinburgh

$\mathcal{O}(r_{\rm cut} \ln r_{\rm cut})$



τ_0 -subtraction for any colour-singlet

(and q_T -subtraction for processes with photon isolation cuts)

 $\mathcal{O}(r_{\rm cut}^2)$

 $r_{\rm cut} \sim q_T/Q, \mathcal{T}_0/Q, \dots$

r_{cut}





Computation of missing (leading) power corrections helps to tame numerical instabilities, especially in the 0-jettiness case, where power corrections are larger [Moult, Rothen, Stewart, Tackmann, Zhu, Ebert, Vita][Boughezal, Isgrò, Liu, Petriello]

Relative size of power corrections affects stability and performance of non-local subtraction methods

The larger the power corrections, the lower are the values of the slicing parameters needed for extrapolation of correct result (CPU consuming, numerically unstable)

Linear power corrections for q_T resummation

a simple recoil prescription

[Catani, de Florian, Ferrera, Grazzini][Billis, Dehnadi, Ebert, Michel, Stewart, Tackmann]





For $2 \rightarrow 2$ processes with (a)symmetric cuts, fiducial linear power corrections can be resummed at all orders via

Remark: linear power corrections in the **symmetric/asymmetric** case are related to **ambiguities** in the perturbative expansion and can be avoided with different sets of cuts

[Salam, Slade]



Linear power corrections for q_T -subtraction

Resorting to the same recoil prescription allows the inclusion of all missing fiducial linear power corrections below $r_{\rm cut}$, improving dramatically the efficiency of the non-local subtraction [Buonocore, Kallweit, LR, Wiesemann] [Camarda, Cieri, Ferrera]



Much improved convergence over linear power correction case

Accurate computation of the NLO correction without the need to push $r_{\rm cut}$ to very low values

Remark: linear power corrections in the **symmetric/asymmetric** case are related to **ambiguities** in the perturbative expansion and can be avoided with different sets of cuts

[Salam, Slade]

The Drell-Yan fiducial cross section at N³LO and N³LO+N³LL

The above considerations are particularly relevant for the case of **Drell-Yan productions within fiducial cuts** ATLAS (and CMS) experiments define their fiducial region using symmetric cuts on the lepton transverse momenta

ATLAS fiducial region

 $p_T^{\ell^{\pm}} > 27 \,\text{GeV}$

All necessary ingredients available to calculate N³LO cross section using q_T -subtraction [Gehrmann,Glover,Huber,Ikizlerli,Studerus][Catani,de Florian,Ferrera,Grazzini][Gehrmann, Luebbert, Yang][Li, Zhu][Luo,Yang,Zhu,Zhu][Ebert,Mistlberger,Vita]

N³LO cross section for on-shell Drell-Yan production calculated using q_T -subtraction and compared to analytic calculation [Chen, Gehrmann, Glover, Huss, Yang, Zhu][Duhr, Dulat, Mistlberger]

First estimates of the N³LO correction in the fiducial region obtained using these ingredients [Camarda, Cieri, Ferrera]

Full control on the **theory systematics** is paramount due to the astonishing precision of the experimental data (permille-level!)

$$I \qquad |\eta^{\ell^{\pm}}| < 2.5$$



Transverse momentum spectrum at N³LO+N³LL

$$d\sigma_V^{N^kLO+N^kLL} \equiv d\sigma_V^{N^kLL} + d\sigma_{V+jet}^{N^{k-1}LO} - \left[d\sigma_V^{N^kLL}\right]_{\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^k)}$$

- **Excellent description** of the data across the whole q_T spectrum,
- First bin which is susceptible to non-perturbative corrections
- Residual theoretical uncertainty in the intermediate q_T region is at the **few-percent level**, about 5% for $q_T \gtrsim 50$ GeV



The Drell-Yan fiducial cross section at N³LO

ATLAS fiducial region

 Mandatory to include missing linear power corrections to reach a precise control of the **N**^k**LO correction** down to small values of q_T^{cut}

• Plateau at small $q_T^{\rm cut}$ indicates the desired independence of the slicing parameter

 Result without power correction does not converge yet to the correct value at NkLO

 $p_T^{\ell^{\pm}} > 27 \,\text{GeV} \qquad |\eta^{\ell^{\pm}}| < 2.5$



The Drell-Yan fiducial cross section at N³LO

Product cuts [Salam, Slade]

• Alternative set of cuts which does not suffer from linear power corrections

 Improved convergence, result independent of the recoil procedure

 $\sqrt{|\vec{p}_T^{\ell^+}||\vec{p}_T^{\ell^-}|} > 27 \,\text{GeV} \qquad \min\{|\vec{p}_T^{\ell^\pm}|\} > 20 \,\text{GeV} \qquad |\eta^{\ell^\pm}| < 2.5$



The Drell-Yan fiducial cross section at N³LO and N³LO+N³LL

	Order	σ [pb] Symmetric cuts	
	k	$N^k LO$	N^kLO+N^kLL
	0	$721.16^{+12.2\%}_{-13.2\%}$	
	1	$742.80(1)^{+2.7\%}_{-3.9\%}$	$748.58(3)^{+3.19}_{-10.2}$
	2	$741.59(8)^{+0.42\%}_{-0.71\%}$	$740.75(5)^{+1.15}_{-2.66}$
$q_T^{\rm cut} = 0.8 {\rm GeV}$	3	$722.9(1.1)^{+0.68\%}_{-1.09\%}\pm 0.9$	$726.2(1.1)^{+1.0}_{-0.7}$

- correction and outside its error band
- absence of linear power corrections
- interval

Includes resummation of linear power corrections



[Chen, Gehrmann, Glover, Huss, Monni, Re, LR, Torrielli] • 2.5 negative correction at N³LO in the ATLAS fiducial region. N³LO larger than the NNLO

• More robust estimate of the theory uncertainty when **resummation effects are included**

• Central value very similar at N^kLO and N^kLO+N^kLL for product cuts, compatible with the

• Slicing error computed conservatively by considering the cutoff within the [0.45-1.5] GeV

Fiducial distributions at N³LO+N³LL

$$d\sigma_V^{N^kLO+N^kLL} \equiv d\sigma_V^{N^kLL} + d\sigma_{V+jet}^{N^{k-1}LO} - \left[d\sigma_V^{N^kLL}\right]_{\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^k)}$$

• Fully differential calculation allows one to obtain N³LO+N³LL predictions for fiducial observables

• Leptonic transverse momentum is a particularly relevant observable due to its importance in the extraction of the W mass

 Inclusion of resummation effects necessary to cure (integrable) divergences due to the presence of a **Sudakov shoulder** at $m_{ee}/2$ [Catani, Webber]



Outlook and discussion (1)

- State-of-the-art predictions for the fiducial cross section and differential distributions in the DY process at the LHC, through N³LO and N³LO+N³LL in QCD.
- Thorough study of the performance of the computational method adopted, reaching an excellent control over all systematic uncertainties involved.
- Residual theoretical uncertainties at the $\mathcal{O}(1\%)$ level in the fiducial cross section, and at the few-percent level in differential distributions.

Beyond 0 jet: N-jettiness

So far N-jettiness is the most studied resolution variable for the generic $N \rightarrow N + 1$ transition

Ingredients for 1-jettiness subtraction at NNLO have been computed, and NNLO calculations for V + 1 jet using 1-jettines subtraction have been performed [Boughezal, Campbell, Ellis, Focke, Giele, Liu, Petriello, Williams]

Soft-function for 2-jettiness at NNLO also available, allows for potential computation of dijet at NNLO [Jin, Liu]

Application to V + 1 processes requires careful estimate of the large missing power corrections which characterise the observable [Campbell, Ellis, Seth]

$$\mathcal{T}_1 = \sum_{i} \min_{l} \left\{ \frac{2q_l \cdot p_i}{Q_l} \right\} \qquad \qquad Q_l = 2E_l$$

$$r = \mathcal{T}_1 / \sqrt{m_H^2 + (p_T^j)^2}$$



Particle Physics Theory Seminar, 9th March 2022, Edinburgh

New resolution variables for V + 1 jet

N-jettiness has proved a successful resolution variable for processes with 1 jet, but so far is essentially the only player in the game

jettiness and which could have

- smaller power corrections
- more direct experimental relevance
- simpler relation with parton shower ordering variables

It may prove worthwhile to explore other resolution variables which **overcome some of the shortcomings** of



q_T -imbalance for V + j production [Buonocore, Grazzini, Haag, LR]

Consider production of boson V in association with a jet

$$h_1(P_1) + h_2(P_2) \to V(p_V) + j(p_j) + X$$

Define q_T -imbalance as

$$\vec{q}_T = (\vec{p}_V + \vec{p}_J)_T$$

Variable depends on the jet definition: jet defined through anti- k_t algorithm with jet radius R

Fixed-order calculation develops large logarithms of $\ln(q_T)^2/Q^2$ in the limit $q_T \to 0$. Perturbative expansion rescued by the **all-order resummation** of logarithmically enhanced terms


q_T -imbalance for V + j production [Buonocore, Grazzini, Haag, LR]

Resummation already considered both in direct QCD and in SCET [Sung, Yan, Yuan, Yuan][Chien, Shao, Wu]

In both cases, anomalous dimensions computed in the **narrow jet approximation** (valid only in the small-*R* limit)

In view of potential applications for e.g. subtraction scheme, it is important to assess the impact of such an approximation

In our calculation:

- Full *R* dependence in the anomalous dimensions
- Full azimuthal dependence
- Inclusion of all finite contributions (**NLL' accuracy**)



Singularity structure and factorisation

Richer singularity structure since the final state parton radiates

Singularities of **soft/collinear** origin from **initial state partons**

Singularities of **soft origin** due to the emission of soft gluons at wide angle connecting the three emitters

Final state collinear singularity regulated by finite jet radius

Presence of finite jet radius induces harsh boundary in the phase space - non global logarithms



Resummation formula at NLL

[Buonocore, Grazzini, Haag, LR]

Observable factorizes in impact parameter (**b**) space like transverse momentum in colour-singlet production Resummation akin to the resummation of transverse momentum in $t\bar{t}$ production

Fully differential resummation formula at NLL (for **global** contribution)

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d^{2}\mathbf{q_{T}}dQ^{2}dy\,d\boldsymbol{\Omega}} = \frac{Q^{2}}{2P_{1}\cdot P_{2}}\sum_{(a,c)\in\mathcal{F}}[d\sigma_{ac}^{(0)}]\int\frac{d^{2}\mathbf{b}}{(2\pi)^{2}}e^{i\mathbf{b}\cdot\mathbf{q_{T}}}\mathcal{S}_{ac}(Q,b)$$
$$\times \sum_{a_{1},a_{2}}\int_{x_{1}}^{1}\frac{dz_{1}}{z_{1}}\int_{x_{2}}^{1}\frac{dz_{2}}{z_{2}}[(\mathbf{H}\boldsymbol{\Delta})C_{1}C_{2}]_{ac;a_{1}a_{2}}f_{a_{1}/h_{1}}(x_{1}/z_{1},b_{0}^{2}/b^{2})f_{a_{2}/h_{2}}(x_{2}/z_{2},b_{0}^{2}/b^{2})$$



$$\mathcal{S}_{ac}(Q,b) = \exp\left\{-\int_{b_0^2/b^2}^{Q^2} \frac{dq^2}{q^2} \left[A_{ac}(\alpha_s(q^2))\ln\frac{Q^2}{q^2} + B_{ac}(\alpha_s(q^2))\right]\right\}$$

 $[(\mathbf{H}\boldsymbol{\Delta})C_1C_2]_{ac;a_1a_2}$

Same beam function as q_T

Sudakov exponent is the same as for colourless case

Contains additional contribution which starts at NLL accuracy and describes QCD radiation of **soft-wide angle radiation** (colour singlet: $\Delta = 1$)



The soft-wide angle contribution

The factor (**H** Δ) depends on **b**, Q and on the underlying Born. It also contains an explicit dependence on the jet definition

H: process-dependent hard factor, independent on b

 $(\mathbf{H}\Delta) = \mathrm{Tr}[\mathbf{H}\Delta]$: non-trivial dependence on the colour structure of the partonic process (can be worked out simply in V + j production)

All-order structure of Δ

 $[(\mathbf{H}\boldsymbol{\Delta})C_1C_2]_{ac:a_1a_2}$

 $\boldsymbol{\Delta}(\mathbf{b}, Q; t/u, \phi_{Ib}) = \mathbf{V}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{b}, Q, t/u, R) \mathbf{D}(\alpha_{s}(b_{0}^{2}/b^{2}), t/u, R; \phi_{Ib}) \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{b}, Q, t/u, R).$

 $\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{b}, Q, t/u, R) =$

Explicit azimuthal dependence (azimuthal correlations) [Catani, Grazzini, Sargsyan, Torre]

$$\overline{P}_q \exp\left\{-\int_{b_0^2/b^2}^{Q^2} \frac{dq^2}{q^2} \Gamma(\alpha_s(q^2), t/u, R)\right\}$$

Evolution operator resumming logs stemming from softwide angle radiation





Calculation of NLL' coefficients

Resummation formula at NLL' requires the computation of 1-loop resummation coefficients

$$\Gamma(\alpha_s, t/u, R) = \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \Gamma^{(1)}(t/u, R) + \sum_{n>1} \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}\right)^n \Gamma^{(n)}(t/u, R)$$

Calculation performed by defining the NLO eikonal current associated to the emission of a soft gluon

$$\mathbf{J}^{2}(\{p_{i}\},k;R) = \left(\mathbf{T}_{1} \cdot \mathbf{T}_{2} \frac{p_{1} \cdot p_{2}}{p_{1} \cdot k \ p_{2} \cdot k} + \mathbf{T}_{1} \cdot \mathbf{T}_{3} \frac{p_{1} \cdot p_{3}}{p_{1} \cdot k \ p_{3} \cdot k} + \mathbf{T}_{2} \cdot \mathbf{T}_{3} \frac{p_{2} \cdot p_{3}}{p_{2} \cdot k \ p_{3} \cdot k}\right) \times \Theta(R_{3k}^{2} > R^{2})$$

And subtracting the **double counting** (contributions of soft/collinear origin from the initial state legs)

$$\mathbf{J}_{\text{sub}}^{2}(\{p_{i}\},k;R) = \mathbf{J}^{2} - \sum_{i=1,2} \left(-\mathbf{T}_{i}^{2} \frac{p_{1} \cdot p_{2}}{p_{i} \cdot k \ (p_{2} + p_{2}) \cdot k} \right) \times 1$$

The resummation coefficients can be calculated via

$$\tilde{\mathbf{J}}_{\text{sub}}(\mathbf{b}, t/u; R) = \mu^{2\epsilon} \int d^d k \delta_+(k^2) e^{i\mathbf{b}\cdot\mathbf{k}_\perp} \mathbf{J}_{\text{sub}}^2(\{p_i\}, k; R) = \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{\mu^2 b^2}{4}\right)^\epsilon \Gamma(1-\epsilon)^2 \Omega_{2-2\epsilon} \left(\frac{4}{\epsilon} \Gamma^{(1)}(t/u; R) - 2\mathbf{R}^{(1)}(\hat{\mathbf{b}}, t/u; R) + \dots\right) \qquad \mathbf{D}^{(1)} = \mathbf{R}^{(1)} - \epsilon \mathbf{I}_{\text{sub}}^2(\mathbf{b}, t/u; R) + \dots$$

Hard factor **H**: contains finite contributions of virtual origin, the finite jet function J(R), and a finite contribution of soft origin $\mathbf{F}^{(1)}(\mathbf{R}) = -2\langle \mathbf{R}^{(1)} \rangle (\mathbf{R})$

$$\mathbf{D}(\alpha_s, t/u, R) = \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \mathbf{D}^{(1)}(t/u, R) + \sum_{n>1} \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}\right)^n \mathbf{D}^{(n)}(t/u, R)$$





Non global logarithms

NLL accuracy requires the inclusion of **non-global logarithms** [Dasgupta, Salam]

In the strongly ordered soft limit at two loops there are a **global** and a **non-global** contributions at $\alpha_s^2 \ln q_t^2 / Q^2$



Resummation formula to be supplemented by the factor $\mathscr{U}_{
m NGL}^f$ embedding the resummation of NGL [Dasgupta, Salam] $\mathscr{U}_{\text{NGL}}^{f} \sim \exp\left\{-C_{A}C_{f}\lambda^{2}f(\lambda,R)\right\} \qquad \lambda = \frac{\alpha_{s}(Q^{2})}{2\pi}\ln$ $_{c}(Q,b)$

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d^2 \mathbf{q}_{\mathrm{T}} dQ^2 dy \, d\Omega} = \frac{Q^2}{2P_1 \cdot P_2} \sum_{(a,c) \in \mathscr{F}} [d\sigma_{ac}^{(0)}] \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{b}}{(2\pi)^2} e^{i\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{q}_{\mathrm{T}}} \mathcal{S}_{ac}$$
$$\times \sum_{a_1,a_2} \int_{x_1}^1 \frac{dz_1}{z_1} \int_{x_2}^1 \frac{dz_2}{z_2} [(\mathbf{H}\Delta)C_1C_2]_{ac;a_1a_2}$$

Particle Physics Theory Seminar, 9th March 2022, Edinburgh

 $f_{a_1/h_1}(x_1/z_1, b_0^2/b^2) f_{a_2/h_2}(x_2/z_2, b_0^2/b^2) \mathcal{U}_{\text{NGL}}^f$



Virt.

Non-local subtraction at NLO for H+j

[M. Costantini Master's thesis, UZH]

The expansion of the NLL' formula at fixed order allows us to construct a non-local subtraction scheme using q_T -imbalance as resolution variable



Particle Physics Theory Seminar, 9th March 2022, Edinburgh

Linear scaling observed, good convergence towards the exact result

NLO [pb]	$\mu_F = \mu_R = m_H$
q_T subtraction	13.256 ± 0.034
mcfm	13.250 ± 0.007
LO [pb]	7.758 ± 0.007

Non-local subtraction at NLO for H+j: dependence on the jet radius

[M. Costantini Master's thesis, UZH]



Exact dependence on the jet radius crucial to ensure proper cancellation of logarithmic enhanced terms



The quest for novel resolution variables

 q_T -imbalance has nice convergence properties but has some limitations, which makes the extension at higher orders more complex:

- The observable is defined through a jet algorithm, which induces a dependence on an additional cutting variable (the jet radius *R*)
- The resummation of q_T -imbalance involves additional difficulties such as NGL entering at $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$

We look for a variable which has:

- Same convergence properties of q_T -imbalance: **linear scaling** (or better)
- Does not feature NGL
- Can be easily extended to an **arbitrary number of jets**

The quest for novel resolution variables

 q_T -imbalance orders more

We look for a





Global dimensionful variable capable of capturing the $N \rightarrow N + 1$ jet transition Physically, the variable represents an effective transverse momentum in which the additional jet is unresolved:

- When the unresolved radiation is close to the colliding beams, k_T^{ness} coincides with the transverse momentum of the final state system.
- When the unresolved radiation is emitted close to one of the final-state jets, k_T^{ness} describes the relative transverse-momentum with respect to the jet direction

The variable takes its name from the k_T clustering algorithm and is defined via a recursive procedure

























left
$$d_{ij} = \min(p_{Ti}, p_{Tj})\Delta R_{ij}/D$$
, $d_{iB} = p_{Ti}$





left
$$d_{ij} = \min(p_{Ti}, p_{Tj})\Delta R_{ij}/D$$
, $d_{iB} = p_{Ti}$





left
$$d_{ij} = \min(p_{Ti}, p_{Tj})\Delta R_{ij}/D$$
, $d_{iB} = p_{Ti}$





left
$$d_{ij} = \min(p_{Ti}, p_{Tj})\Delta R_{ij}/D$$
, $d_{iB} = p_{Ti}$





left
$$d_{ij} = \min(p_{Ti}, p_{Tj})\Delta R_{ij}/D$$
, $d_{iB} = p_{Ti}$





left
$$d_{ij} = \min(p_{Ti}, p_{Tj})\Delta R_{ij}/D$$
, $d_{iB} = p_{Ti}$





left
$$d_{ij} = \min(p_{Ti}, p_{Tj})\Delta R_{ij}/D$$
, $d_{iB} = p_{Ti}$

When N + 1 protojets are left, compare d_{ij} with the minimum of d_{iB} .





left
$$d_{ij} = \min(p_{Ti}, p_{Tj})\Delta R_{ij}/D$$
, $d_{iB} = p_{Ti}$

When N + 1 protojets are left, compare d_{ii} with the minimum of d_{iB} .

If the minimum is a $d_{iB'}$ $k_T^{\text{ness}} = (p_i + p_{\text{rec}})_T$

Particle Physics Theory Seminar, 9th March 2022, Edinburgh

 p_i





left
$$d_{ij} = \min(p_{Ti}, p_{Tj})\Delta R_{ij}/D$$
, $d_{iB} = p_{Ti}$

When N + 1 protojets are left, compare d_{ii} with the minimum of d_{iB} .

If the minimum is a $d_{iB'}$ $k_T^{\text{ness}} = (p_i + p_{\text{rec}})_T$





left
$$d_{ij} = \min(p_{Ti}, p_{Tj})\Delta R_{ij}/D$$
, $d_{iB} = p_{Ti}$

When N + 1 protojets are left, compare d_{ii} with the minimum of d_{iB} .

If the minimum is a $d_{ij'} k_T^{\text{ness}} = d_{ij}$

k_T^{ness} -subtraction

We have computed the singular structure in the limit $k_T^{ness} \rightarrow 0$ at NLO to construct a **non-local subtraction**

$$d\hat{\sigma}_{\text{NLO}}^{\text{F+N jets}+\text{X}} = \mathscr{H}_{\text{NLO}}^{\text{F+N jets}} \otimes d\hat{\sigma}_{\text{NLO}}^{\text{F+N jets}}$$

Computation of the relevant coefficients proceeds by identifying singular regions and removing the double counting

Structure of the counterterm **remarkably simple**

$$\hat{\sigma}_{\text{NLO}\,ab}^{\text{CT,F+Njets}} = \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \frac{dk_t^{\text{ness}}}{k_t^{\text{ness}}} \left\{ \left[\ln \frac{Q^2}{(k_t^{\text{ness}})^2} \sum_{\alpha} C_{\alpha} - \sum_{\alpha} \gamma_{\alpha} - \sum_{i} C_i \ln \left(D^2\right) - \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} \mathbf{T}_{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{T}_{\beta} \ln \left(\frac{2p_{\alpha} \cdot p_{\beta}}{Q^2}\right) \right] \times \qquad \gamma_q = 3C_F/2$$

$$\delta_{ac} \delta_{bd} \delta(1 - z_1) \delta(1 - z_2) + 2\delta(1 - z_2) \delta_{bd} P_{ca}^{(1)}(z_1) + 2\delta(1 - z_1) \delta_{ac} P_{db}^{(1)}(z_2) \right\} \otimes d\hat{\sigma}_{\text{LO}\,cd}^{\text{F+N jets}} \qquad \gamma_g = (11C_A - 2r)$$

H contains the finite remainder from the cancellation of singularities of real and virtual origin, and the finite contributions embedded in beam (same as those of q_T), jet and soft functions (which we computed)

 $\hat{\sigma}_{\text{LO}}^{\text{F+N jets}} + \left[d\hat{\sigma}_{\text{LO}}^{\text{F+(N+1) jets}} - d\hat{\sigma}_{\text{NLO}}^{\text{CT,F+N jets}} \right]$



Phenomenological application: *H* + *j* **production** We have implemented our calculation first to H + j production. Amplitudes from MCFM We set the parameter D=1 and we require $p_T^j > 30$ GeV.

We compare our result with a 1-jettiness calculation for the same process, which we implemented in MCFM

$$r = \mathcal{T}_1 / \sqrt{m_H^2 + (p_T^j)^2}$$
 $r = k_T^{\text{ness}} / \sqrt{m_H^2 + (p_T^j)^2}$



Phenomenological application: *H* + *j* **production** We have implemented our calculation first to H + j production. Amplitudes from MCFM We set the parameter D=1 and we require $p_T^J > 30$ GeV.

$$r = \mathcal{T}_1 / \sqrt{m_H^2 + (p_T^j)^2}$$
 $r = k_T^{\text{ness}} / \sqrt{m_H^2 + (p_T^j)^2}$

Faster convergence, power corrections compatible with **purely linear behaviour**

Excellent control of the NLO correction

We compare our result with a 1-jettiness calculation for the same process, which we implemented in MCFM



In this case we set the parameter D=01 and we require $p_T^j > 0$





Phenomenological application: *Z* + 2*j* **production**

We also considered a process with a more complex final state and a non-trivial colour structure

Our implementation uses colour-correlated amplitudes from OL

In this case we set the parameter D=0.1 and we require $p_T^j > 30$ GeV.



38



y Seminar, ytn wayen 2022, Edinburgn

Stability with respect to hadronisation and MPI



We have generated a sample of LO events for Z + j with the POWHEG and showered them with PYTHIA8

We compare the impact of hadronisation and **MPI** on k_T^{ness}

The distribution has a peak at ~ 15 GeV, which remain stable upon hadronisation and MPI

Effect of hadronisation marginal, MPI makes the distribution somewhat harder

Compared to 1-jettiness, effects are much reduced

Outlook and discussion (2)

- subtraction methods, matching with parton showers...)
- full azimuthal dependence
- singular structure of processes with N jets
- with 1 and 2 jets
- k_T -ordered parton shower

• Exploration of novel variables in jet processes have a number of applications (resummation, non-local

• We studied the resummation for q_T -imbalance at NLL' keeping the dependence on the jet radius R with

• We explored new variables in multi jet production. We defined a new variables, k_T^{ness} , which captures the

• We computed the relevant ingredients to construct a subtraction at NLO and we tested it for processes

• The variable shows promising properties: it has mild power corrections, which make it a good candidate for an extension of the subtraction to NNLO; it is relatively stable under hadronisation and MPI; being an effective transverse momentum can prove useful as resolution variable in matching NNLO calculations to



Comparison with previous N³LO estimates

Symmetric cuts

• Omission of linear power corrections leads to incorrect estimate of N^kLO corrections

• Data at N³LO not of sufficient quality to observe a stable plateau, inducing larger systematic uncertainties

 $p_T^{\ell^{\pm}} > 25 \,\text{GeV} \qquad |\eta^{\ell^{\pm}}| < 2.5$



The quest for novel resolution variables

 q_T -imbalance has nice convergence properties but has some limitations, which makes the extension at higher orders more complex:

- The observable is defined through a jet algorithm, which induces a dependence on an additional cutting variable (the jet radius *R*)
- The resummation of q_T -imbalance involves additional difficulties such as NGL entering at $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$

A variable which does not suffer from these problems in V + j production is the difference between the transverse energy and the transverse momentum of the vector boson

$$\Delta E_T = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\vec{p}_{T,i}| - |\vec{p}_{T,V}|$$

Theory Seminar, 24th February 2022, Nikhef
ΔE_T as a resolution variable: challenges

The variable has however a more convoluted structure than q_T -imbalance due the different scalings in each singular region. Parametrising the emission with FKS variables,

$$\Delta E_T \sim k_T (1 + \cos \phi)$$

The non-trivial dependence on ϕ leads to different beam functions with respect to q_T and makes their computation more delicate (need to take into account **polarised splitting kernels**)

Structure of the subtracted soft current also more involved (collinear singularity of final state no longer screened by a finite jet radius), also due to the different scaling of the observable in each region

Theory Seminar, 24th February 2022, Nikhef



FS
$$\Delta E_T \sim k_T \theta \sin(\phi)^2$$

ΔE_T as a resolution variable: results



Power corrections rather large, logarithmic enhancement makes the convergence problematic

Same behaviour as 1-jettiness. Perhaps related to the scaling of the observable?

Theory Seminar, 24th February 2022, Nikhef