

The reason that the e'vals of  $\underline{J}^2$  aren't obvious: does it commute with  $\underline{J}_3$ ?  $\rightarrow \textcircled{1}$

$$\underline{J}^2 = (\underline{J}^{(1)} + \underline{J}^{(2)})^2 = \underline{J}^{(1)2} + \underline{J}^{(2)2} + 2\underline{J}^{(1)} \cdot \underline{J}^{(2)}$$

Strategy: write RHS in terms of  $\underline{J}_\pm^{(i)}, \underline{J}_3^{(i)}$  so we know the action on basis states.

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{J}_+^{(1)} \underline{J}_-^{(2)} &= (\underline{J}_1^{(1)} + i\underline{J}_2^{(1)}) \cdot (\underline{J}_1^{(2)} - i\underline{J}_2^{(2)}) \\ &= \underline{J}_1^{(1)} \underline{J}_1^{(2)} + \underline{J}_2^{(1)} \underline{J}_2^{(2)} + i(\underline{J}_2^{(1)} \underline{J}_1^{(2)} - \underline{J}_1^{(1)} \underline{J}_2^{(2)}) \end{aligned}$$

$\underline{J}_-^{(1)} \underline{J}_+^{(2)}$  is the same except for  $(1) \leftrightarrow (2)$ .

$$\text{Equate 2 sides. eq. } \Rightarrow 2\underline{J}^{(1)} \cdot \underline{J}^{(2)} = \underline{J}_+^{(1)} \underline{J}_-^{(2)} + \underline{J}_-^{(1)} \underline{J}_+^{(2)} + 2\underline{J}_2^{(1)} \underline{J}_2^{(2)}$$

Subst  $\rightarrow \textcircled{1}$ ,

$$\underline{J}^2 = \underline{J}^{(1)2} + \underline{J}^{(2)2} + \underline{J}_+^{(1)} \underline{J}_-^{(2)} + \underline{J}_-^{(1)} \underline{J}_+^{(2)} + 2\underline{J}_2^{(1)} \underline{J}_2^{(2)}$$

All terms <sup>manifestly</sup> commute with  $\underline{J}_3$  except for 3<sup>rd</sup> + 4<sup>th</sup> on RHS:  
use  $[\underline{J}_\pm^{(i)}, \underline{J}_3^{(j)}] = \mp \underline{J}_\pm^{(i)} \delta_{ij}$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow [\underline{J}^2, \underline{J}_3^{(1)} + \underline{J}_3^{(2)}] &= [\underline{J}_+^{(1)}, \underline{J}_3^{(2)}] \underline{J}_-^{(2)} + \underline{J}_+^{(2)} [\underline{J}_-^{(1)}, \underline{J}_3^{(1)}] + (1 \leftrightarrow 2) \\ &= -\underline{J}_+^{(1)} \underline{J}_-^{(2)} + \underline{J}_+^{(2)} \underline{J}_-^{(1)} + (1 \leftrightarrow 2) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $[\underline{J}^2, \underline{J}_3] = 0$ , as required. You could use  $\underline{I}^2$  to verify the  $\underline{J}$  values of the combined states we're finding.